Year 2022-23

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analysis for Current Cycle

Stage 1: PLAN			STAGE 2: DO		Stage 3: STUDY	
General Educat on Competencies Addressed in this Course:	Assessment Method(s) – e.g. pre/post tests, embedded quest ons, port olio evaluat on, rubric-scored essay; list only act vit es for which you are report ng assessment data	Prof ciency – e.g. the prof cient student will correctly answer 5 out of the 6 embedded quest ons on the f nal exam	Benchmark – e.g. 80% of students taking the f nal exam will correctly answer 5 of the 6 embedded quest ons on the f nal exam	Results of course assessment(s)	Analysis of results – e.g. strengths and weaknesses What does this data tell you? How will you use this data? How were data from the last cycle used to make changes during this cycle, and what were the results of those changes?	Recommendations for Course based on assessment
Communicat on (required)	Two presentations, group and persuasive	Prof cient students will score 70% or higher on presentat on assignment(s)	For AY 22-23, more than 70% of students will achieve greater than 70% on presentat on(s)	89% of students scored 70% or higher on the first presentation. 93% of students scored 70% or higher on the second presentation.	Due to the department not complet ng the last several years of assessments (they were handled by the university core curriculum assessment commit ee), we did not have previous data to guide changes. However, based on current data,	We should add some specific exam quest ons about the communication pr

Crit cal Thinking (required)	Persuasive presentat on will demonstrate clear use of crit cal thinking in topic select on and use of evidence.	Proficient students will score 80% or bet er on persuasive presentation.	For AY 22-23, more than 70% of students will achieve greater than 80% on presentat on.	71% of students scored 80% or higher on the persuasive presentat on.	students are meet ng our goal for this area. Due to the department not complet ng the last several years of assessments (they were handled by the university core curriculum assessment commit ee), we did not have previous data to guide changes. However, based on current data, students are meet ng our goal for this area.	We should add specific test quest ons to final examito supplement data from presentation regarding critical thinking. This will allow us to gain deeper insight about the students' understanding of the concepts.
Select One:Empirical & Quant tat ve SkillsTeamworkSocial responsibility _X_Personal Responsibility	Persuasive speech should refect an understanding of personal responsibility regarding both topic and solut on port ons.	Proficient students will score 80% on persuasive speech presentation.	More than 70% of students should score 80% or bet er on assignment to indicate understanding of personal responsibility as it relates to public speaking.	For AY 22-23, 71% of students scored 80% or higher on the assignment.	Due to the department not complet ng the last several years of assessments (they were handled by the university core curriculum assessment commit ee), we did not have	A secondary tool that focuses more directly on personal responsibility should be completed af er the speeches are f nished to illustrate more direct analysis of desired goals.