Doctor of Engineering

Annual Program Report

Year:	2022 (Submitted in March 2023)
Program:	Doctor of Engineering
Contact Person (include email & phone#	Dr. Jenny Zhou <u>lenny.zhou@lamar.e</u> d409-8807830

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assides the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement program with the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

Thefollowing improvements have been implemented to the

- 4) CHEN 6344 Multimedia Transport Pollutant
- 5) CHEN 6346 Sustainability Applications
- 6) CHEN 6363 Process Modeling w/ Neural Network
- 7) ELEN6303Python Programming
- 8) INEN 6305 ngineering Reliability
- 9) MEEN 6312Advanced Toips on Fluid Mechanics
- 10) MEEN 6332 Advanced Topics in Computational Fluids
- 11) MEEN 631 Advanced Engineering Mathematics

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN STA	GE 2: DO STAGE 3: STUDY
-------------------	-------------------------

					to write a dissertation includingterminology and notation.
<i>Outcome #1</i>	Criterion #3 Strategy/Procedures	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	I I	I

						ranging research seminars, which all DE studentsre encouraged to attend.
Outcome #2					3.5	Met the target
<i>Outcome #3</i> : An ability to use modern engineering tools to produce engineering analysis in a systematic manner.	Criterion #1 Ability of using modern engineering tools	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.8	Meet the expectation
Outcome #3	Criterion #2 Quality of analysis	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.7	Meet the expectation Approximately 10 new DE 6000-level courses have been added. There will beontinued work on adding engineering math and science courses.
Outcome #3					3.8	Met the target
<i>Outcome #4</i> : An ability to complete a doctoral dissertation and effectively communicate the dissertation work orally and in writing.	Criterion #1 Dissertation significance	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.8	Meet the expectation
Outcome #4	Criterion #2 Organization of Dissertation	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.7	Meet the expectation This criterion is an improvement over that of the previous report.TheENGR 6110 Professional Seminar course added theontent on how to write a dissertation.

Outcome #4	Criterion #3 Dissertation presentation & delivery	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.8	Meet the expectation
Outcome #4	Criterion #4 Question & answer impromptu skills	Dissertation/fiel d study report	By the student's dissertation committee	2.8 or > 70%	3.4	Meet the expectation
Outcome #4			1	ŗ	3.7	Met the target

The College of Engineering is increasi**hgl**gling seminarsin various fields of studywhich all DE studentsare encouraged to attend.